# Improved Tune monitors

E. PLOUVIEZ, <u>B. ROCHE</u>, F. UBERTO ESRF

## Current tune monitor at the ESRF

#### Based on an (expensive) network analyzer



- GPIB controlled (obsolete)
- Only one channel (both plane on the same graph)
- 3 s. sweep time
- Two set of pick-up (H + V), + a spare pickup (but removed a few years ago)
- 2 sets of shakers (H + V) + 2 spares (signal sent over large distances)
- home-made electronics for homodyne detection at RF frequency (phase sensitive)



## Current tune monitor at the ESRF



SR is operated with high chromaticity
it makes it difficult to determine the correct tune
today the correct tune is determine by human expertise (we select the correct pick)
software pick tracking (slow drifts only)



## Current tune monitor at the ESRF



Current tune monitor is based on a frequency sweep with a period of 3 s.

During a test with beam scientists, they could see a perturbation with a large excitation (3x the usual one)

→ A fastest sweep would be averaged over their integration period.



## Bunch by Bunch feedback notch tune monitoring



Noise suppression by the feedback loop.

It is a side effect of a bunch by bunch feedback system.

(see tomorrow's presentation "Outcome of a test/demonstration of the Dimtel B-b-B system")

 $\rightarrow$  At the ESRF we don't usually need the BbB feedback.



### Other interesting characteristics for a tune monitor

- Single bunch tune monitor (just spoil emittance for 1 bunch)
- Phase tracking (see tomorrow's presentation "Outcome of a test/demonstration of the Dimtel B-b-B system")
- Fast tune monitor





### A Spark-based tune monitor





### A Spark-based tune monitor

#### Advantages:

- Few components, few interconnections (signals are not sent over large distances)
- Relatively low cost (~ 6000 euros for the electronics)
- Same Spark as for the SR (control is already available, no need for dedicated spares)

#### Drawbacks:

- No fast or single bunch measurement capabilities
- Obsolete FPGA card



### Data processing

The Spark cannot acquire a continuous flow of data.

We acquire a data set at 4 Hz. One acquisition corresponding to a PRBS sequence, i.e. 92 ms.

It corresponds to 37 % of measurement time, and 63 % of dead time.

The signal processing (Fourier transform calculations, etc.) is performed on a separate computer.



### Data processing

Excitation signal (PRBS-15)



One computes:

- The power spectral density of beam's response
- The cross-correlation between excitation signal and beam's response



### With a large excitation





## Without excitation (natural beam excitation)





## Small beam excitation (+ 0.1 pm on V emittance)





### Front-end or no front-end?



Set of four 352.2MHz /6 MHz BW matching transformers with SMA connectors figure 3: SR TUNE MONITOR RF FRONT END  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta V$  can be fed directly into a Spark input.

The amplitude measured by the Spark is proportional to the absolute value of the position.

It can be an issue if the beam is perfectly well centered.











12 dB attenuation at Spark's input



## A Spark-based tune monitor (conclusion)

 We will have two independent setups (BPM pick-up + Spark + electronics for beam excitation + shaker)

reliable

- Excitation and measurement only coupled with timing signals
- not phase sensitive simple
- no spoil of the beam emittance (no slow sweep)
- Spark windowing capabilities enable to measure tune on a small number of buckets (approx. 50)
- Can phase information help to automatically find correct tune value?

**User-friendly** 

Thank you for you attention...

