# Sub-ns and sub-ps structural dynamics:

# a view from time-resolved X-ray diffraction



# **Claire Laulhé**



SOLEIL synchrotron - CRISTAL beamline

Paris-Saclay University (Psud)





# Sub-ns and sub-ps structural dynamics: a view from time-resolved X-ray diffraction

#### I. Scientific motivations

#### II. Pump-probe diffraction

- Principle
- Time resolution & synchronization
- Short X-ray pulse sources
- Specific geometrical constraints

#### III. Examples

- Photo-induced phase transition in  $K_{0.3}MoO_3$
- Ultrafast bond formation in a Gold(I) trimer



# Sub-ns and sub-ps structural dynamics: a view from time-resolved X-ray diffraction

#### I. Scientific motivations

- II. Pump-probe diffraction
  - Principle
  - Time resolution & synchronization
  - Short X-ray pulse sources
  - Specific geometrical constraints

#### III. Examples

- Photo-induced phase transition in  $K_{0.3}MoO_3$
- Ultrafast bond formation in a Gold(I) trimer



# **Structural dynamics in physics**

#### • Crystals at thermodynamic equilibrium

Atomic displacements : sum of normal modes  $\overrightarrow{u_n}(\vec{r},t) = \sum_{\lambda, \|\vec{k}\|} u_n(\lambda, \vec{k}) \vec{e}_{\lambda, \vec{k}} e^{i[\omega(\lambda, \vec{k})t - \vec{k}.\vec{r}]}$ 



Transverse mode

→ Experiments in the <u>frequency domain</u>: inelastic neutron scattering, Raman scattering...

#### Photo-induced structural dynamics



→ Experiments in the time domain: time-resolved pump-probe diffraction



# **Structural dynamics in physics**





Exploration of the potential in photo-excited states Novel states of matter Ultrafast control of the physical properties



# Structural dynamics in biology

#### • Protein dynamics :

Study of the fastest dynamics: Reaction mechanisms have to be triggered at the same time within ps !

#### $\rightarrow$ Photo-induced dynamics

<image>

Function cycles activated by photo-dissociation



# Microscopic understanding of the biological functions



# Structural dynamics in chemistry

• Photo-activated chemical reaction processes



S. Jun et al., Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 12, 11536-11547 (2010)



a)

0.010

0.008

6

#### Molecular crystals



# Sub-ns and sub-ps structural dynamics: a view from time-resolved X-ray diffraction

I. Scientific motivations

#### II. Pump-probe diffraction

- Principle
- Time resolution & synchronization
- Short X-ray pulse sources
- Specific geometrical constraints
- III. Examples
  - Photo-induced phase transition in  $K_{0.3}MoO_3$
  - Ultrafast bond formation in a Gold(I) trimer



# Time-resolved pump-probe diffraction

#### Following photo-induced structural changes as a function of time



#### • One pump-probe cycle $\leftrightarrow$ diffraction signal too low !

- $\rightarrow N$  pump-probe cycles needed for each  $\Delta t$ : study of reversible processes
- ightarrow Irreversible processes : liquid jets or serial crystallography at X-FELs
- A crucial parameter : control of  $\Delta t$  [quality of synchronization]



I. Schlichting, IUCrJ **2**, 246–255 (2015) V. Panneels *et al.*, Structural Dynamics **2**, 041718 (2015) T. R. M. Barends, Science **350**, 445 (2015)



### Synchronization scheme



•Commercially available Ti:Sa lasers (1990  $\rightarrow$ ): ~ 40 fs pulses @ 800 nm [1.55 eV]











# X-ray pulse sources

#### • Few ps pulses from synchrotrons: low- $\alpha$ mode

#### Normal operation:

- Optics optimized for a low-emittance electron beam
- Dispersion of  $E_{e^-} \Longrightarrow$  dispersion of  $e^-$  revolution period
- Elongated e<sup>-</sup> bunches, longer X-ray pulses



 $\rightarrow$  User operation at BESSY, SOLEIL, DIAMOND



# X-ray pulse sources

• 100 fs X-ray pulses: X-FELs (2009  $\rightarrow$ )



- Short electron pulses produced by a laser-driven electron gun [N electrons]
- Propagation in long undulators (100 m) [M poles]
- Electron beam bunching
   → Coherent emission of all the electrons

#### $I \propto N^2 \times M^2$ : very high flux 80 fs hard X-ray pulses





# Time-resolved pump-probe diffraction: laser-based sources

• X-ray plasma sources (1994  $\rightarrow$ )



- Laser pulse onto a copper target
- Indirect ionization of Cu atoms
- Emission of X-rays with  $K_{\alpha}(Cu)$  wavelength [ $\lambda$ = 1.54 Å]

10<sup>3</sup> ph./pulse @1kHz 100 fs duration

F. Zamponi, Appl. Phys. A 96, 51-58 (2009)
A. Rousse *et al.*, PRE 50, 2200 (1994)
A. Rousse *et al.*, Nature 410, 65 (2001)

• Ultrafast electron diffraction (2003  $\rightarrow$ )



- Frequency-tripled Ti:Sa laser pulse ( $\lambda = 266 \text{ nm}$ )
- Pulse-driven photocathode  $\rightarrow$  photoemission
- Acceleration to  $\sim$  60 keV [ $\lambda \sim$  0.05 Å]

#### 10<sup>3</sup> e<sup>-</sup>/pulse @1kHz 300 fs duration

W.-X. Liang *et al.*, Chinese Phys. Lett. **26**, 020701 (2009) R. Srinivasan *et al.*, Helvetica Chimica Acta **86**, 1761-1799 (2003)

# Pump-probe diffraction : typical photon or electron fluxes

Synchrotrons [repetition rate 1 kHz]			
• 80 ps X-ray pulses	$\bigcirc\bigcirc\bigcirc\bigcirc$	<b>10<sup>6</sup> photons/pulse</b> $\Delta E/E \sim 10^{-4}$	10 <sup>9</sup> ph/s
• Few ps X-ray pulses (low- $\alpha$ )		<b>10<sup>4</sup> photons/pulse</b> $\Delta E/E \sim 10^{-3}$	10 <sup>7</sup> ph/s
• 100 fs X-ray pulses (femto-slicing)	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	<b>10<sup>3</sup> photons/pulse</b> $\Delta E/E \sim 8.10^{-3}$	10 <sup>6</sup> ph/s
Laser-based sources [repetition rate 1 kHz]			
• 100 fs X-ray pulses (plasma source)		10 <sup>3</sup> photons/pulse $\Delta E/E \sim 10^{-4}$	10 <sup>6</sup> ph/s
• 300 fs electron pulses		10 <sup>3</sup> electrons/pulse	10 <sup>6</sup> e <sup>-</sup> /s
X-ray free electron lasers [repetition rate 100 Hz]			
• 80 fs X-ray pulses		<b>10<sup>11</sup> photons/pulse</b> $\Delta E/E \sim 10^{-3}$	10 <sup>13</sup> ph/s
		ITA A	



### **Time resolved X-ray diffraction: experimental facts**

• X-rays and IR photons: differing penetration depths !



[Typical values for hard condensed matter, 7 keV X-ray photons]



- Electron diffraction: sample is usually thinner than  $\delta_{\text{Laser}}$ 



# **Time resolved X-ray diffraction: experimental facts**

• X-rays and IR photons: differing penetration depths !



[Typical values for hard condensed matter, 7 keV X-ray photons ]



• Grazing incidence geometry, pump & probe beams collinear





# Time-resolved diffraction setup installed at CRISTAL (SOLEIL synchr.)





Ti:Sa oscillator + amplifier

λ = 800 nm, 40 fs FWHM 500 μJ/pulse @10kHz, 5 mJ/pulse @1kHz





# Sub-ns and sub-ps structural dynamics: a view from time-resolved X-ray diffraction

- I. Scientific motivations
- II. Pump-probe diffraction
  - Principle
  - Time resolution & synchronization
  - Short X-ray pulse sources
  - Specific geometrical constraints

#### III. Examples

- Photo-induced phase transition in  $\rm K_{0.3}MoO_{3}$
- Ultrafast bond formation in a Gold(I) trimer



# Atomic structure of blue bronze $(K_{0.3}MoO_3)$

• A quasi-one-dimensional conductor...



 $\sigma_b$  = 3 10<sup>2</sup> [ $\Omega$ .cm]<sup>-1</sup>

...which undergoes a transition to a charge density wave phase at 183 K



J. Graham and A.D. Wadsley, Acta Cryst. **20**, 93 (1966) G. Grüner, "Density waves in solids"

# Formation of a charge density wave - Peierls model

• A metal-insulator transition driven by a periodic lattice distortion





# Ultrafast light control of the physical properties of CDW compounds ?







e-ph coupling... Does photo-excitation affect the CDW structural modulation ? On which timescale ?

- Photo-induced phase transitions: an <u>out-of-equilibrium</u> dynamical process
  - $\rightarrow$  Control of physical properties on ultrafast timescales (< 1 ps)
  - $\rightarrow$  Discovery of new, intermediate states



# Ultrafast light control of the physical properties of CDW compounds ?



Pump-probe diffraction

Photo-induced structural dynamics in CDW coumpounds

**Time resolution needed: down to 100 fs** [ $E_{ph} = \hbar \omega \sim 20 \text{ meV} \Rightarrow T_{osc} \sim 250 \text{ fs}$ ]

• Use of the synchrotron femto-slicing source at Swiss Light Source





P. Beaud et al., PRL 99 174801 (2007)

Appearance of a charge density wave in blue bronze  $(K_{0.3}MoO_3)$ 

• K<sub>0.3</sub>MoO<sub>3</sub>: satellite peaks @  $(h k l) + (1 q_b \frac{1}{2})$ 





• Time-dependence of the satellite  $\left(1 \left[4-q_b\right] \frac{1}{2}\right)$  - Low fluence



• Time-dependence of the satellite  $\left(1 \left[4-q_b\right] \frac{\overline{1}}{2}\right)$  - Higher fluences



•  $F = 1 \text{mJ/cm}^2$ 

- $\rightarrow$  The recovery time of satellite peak intensity increases
- $\rightarrow$  Coherent oscillations: hardly observable

#### • $F > 1 \text{mJ/cm}^2$

- $\rightarrow$  No recovery of satellite peak intensity within 10 ps
- $\rightarrow$  Oscillation frequency doubled w/r to the low fluence case

#### Significant changes of the atomic potential surface

T. Huber et al., PRL **113**, 026401 (2014)



• Time-dependence of the satellite  $\left(1 \left[4-q_b\right] \frac{1}{2}\right)$  - Higher fluences



• Free energy vs laser excitation  $[\eta \propto \text{laser fluence}]$ 

$$F = F_0 + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \eta e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} - 1 \right] \, u_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} {u_0}^4$$

#### Equation of motion to be solved:

$$C_{1}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left[\frac{u_{0}(t)}{u_{0}(t<0)}\right] = -\overrightarrow{grad} F - C_{2}\gamma(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{u_{0}(t)}{u_{0}(t<0)}\right]$$

Non-harmonic motions of atoms



• Time-dependence of the satellite  $\left(1 \left[4-q_b\right] \frac{1}{2}\right)$  - Higher fluences



#### Ultrafast change of atomic potential symmetry

# Sub-ns and sub-ps structural dynamics: a view from time-resolved X-ray diffraction

- I. Scientific motivations
- II. Pump-probe diffraction
  - Principle
  - Time resolution & synchronization
  - Short X-ray pulse sources
  - Specific geometrical constraints

#### III. Examples

- Photo-induced phase transition in  $K_{0.3}MoO_3$
- Ultrafast bond formation in a Gold(I) trimer



• [Au(CN)<sub>2</sub>-]<sub>3</sub> in aqueous solution



Au(l) : [Xe] 4f<sup>14</sup> 5d<sup>10</sup>

• [Au(CN)<sub>2</sub>-]<sub>3</sub>: photoinduced response



#### **Optical pump-probe spectroscopy:**



#### Discrepancies with ab-initio molecular dynamics simulations

M. Iwamura *et al.*, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **135**, 538-541 (2013)
T. Seki *et al.*, Chem. Sci. **6**, 1491 (2015)
G. Cui *et al.*, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **52**, 10281 (2013)





K.H. Kim et al., Nature 518, 385 (2015)



• Radial integration:

• Scattering function *S*(*q*):

$$S(q) = \sum_{\alpha} N_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^{2} + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{N_{\alpha} N_{\beta}}{V} f_{\alpha} f_{\beta} \int_{0}^{\infty} [g_{\alpha\beta}(r) - 1] \frac{\sin qr}{qr} 4\pi r^{2} dr$$

 $\rightarrow \alpha, \beta$ : atomic species

• Partial pair distribution function  $g_{lphaeta}(r)$ :

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(r) = \frac{1}{Nc_{\alpha}c_{\beta}} \times \frac{1}{4\pi\rho_0 r^2} \times \sum_{i_{\alpha}} \sum_{i_{\beta}\neq i_{\alpha}} \delta\left(r - r_{i_{\alpha}i_{\beta}}\right)$$

ightarrow Number of  $oldsymbol{eta}$ -type atoms at distance r of an lpha-type atom



• 
$$S(q) = S(q)_{solute+cage} + S(q)_{solvent}$$
  
 $a, \beta = H, O$   
Dominant term !  
 $a, \beta = Au, C, N, H, O$   
Dominated by Au-Au contributions  
•  $\Delta S(q, t) = S(q, t) - S(q, t < 0)$   
 $\Delta S(q, t) = \Delta S(q, t)_{Au-Au} + \Delta S(q, t)_{solvent}$   
Determined by a separate  
solvent heating experiment  
•  $\Delta S(q, t)_{Au-Au} \stackrel{\text{FT}}{\Rightarrow} \Delta g_{Au-Au}(r, t)$   
•  $g_{Au-Au}(r, t) = g_{Au-Au}^{0}(r) + \Delta g_{Au-Au}(r, t)$ 



K.H. Kim et al., Nature 518, 385 (2015)







# Thank you !

