





Application of the Emittance Adapter to SOLEIL and MAX IV

Pascale Brunelle on behalf of the Round Beam Project Team



Round Beam Workshop, SOLEIL, June 14th - 15th, 2017



Possibility of applying the emittance adapter to a long straight section at SOLEIL



2



A. Chao and P. Raimondi (SLAC-PUB-14808)





SYNCHROTRON

SDL09 Preliminary Layout





Optics Tuning



SYNCHROTRON





- 4.2 m available for the solenoid
- Skew quadrupoles reach 40 T/m gradient
- L = 0.14 / 0.39 / 0.20 m
- 1 quadrupole of the ring reach gradient > 20 T/m



Beam Dynamics



The vacuum chamber height has to be enlarged from 14 to 24 mm to ensure good injection efficiency.



Beam coming from the booster (100% of the electrons)



Change of Direction



- The demonstration appeared to be feasible BUT the budget was increased from ~0 to 440 k€.
- Then the strategy was to study first the benefit of using a round photon beam at the sample of a beamline.
- An opportunity was given by the collaboration between MAX IV and SOLEIL.

MAXIV : Konstantin Klementiev



Christoph Quitmann SOLEIL : José-Miguel Luque-Raigon (post-doc) Pascale Brunelle Nicolas Jaouen Olivier Marcouillé Thierry Moreno Ryutaro Nagaoka François Polack William Shepard Amor Nadji

6



Goal of the Round Beam Project



- Study the benefit of using a round photon beam compared to a flat one in terms of flux density at the sample.
- Round electron beam configurations based on Emittance adapter *:
 - Nominal horizontal emittance and energy spread for SOLEIL and MAX IV.
 - \succ Coupling = 0.01.
 - Solenoid field = 10 T.
- Photon energy range:
 - HARD and SOFT X-ray beamlines

* Joël Chavanne, Some Undulator Photon Beam Properties in a Flat to Round Electron Beam Insertion, ESRF note, 2013, ESRF, Grenoble, France







- <u>Wave Propagation based on SRW* output</u>
 - Photon beam reconstruction at <u>Source</u>.
 - > Photon beam propagation to the Diaphragm
 - 2D-Gaussian distribution for emittance (H and V)
 - 1D-Gaussian distribution for energy spread.
- <u>Calculation of the electron beam trajectory for any magnetic field</u>
 - Calculated or measured undulator field.
 - Undulator field + solenoid field.
- Propagation from <u>diaphragm to sample</u> using SPOTX** : T. Moreno.
- Propagation from <u>source to sample</u> using X-Ray-Tracer : K. Klementiev.

* O. Chubar, P. Elleaume, "Accurate and Efficient Computation of Synchrotron Radiation in the Near Field Region", Proceedings of the EPAC98 Conference, pp.1177-1179.

** Moreno, T. and Idir, M., 2001. J. Phys. IV France 11, 527-531

*** K. Klementiev, <u>http://pythonhosted.org/xrt/</u>

Study of two beamlines with their existing configuration



MAXIV

HARD X-ray beamline PX2



SOFT X-ray beamline SEXTANTS





Reconstruction at the source



Example of the Soft X-ray SEXTANTS beamline





 $\Sigma_{x, z} = 54.96 \times 9.12 \ \mu\text{m}^2 (\text{H} \times \text{V})$ Flux = 1.56 \cdot 10¹⁵ ph/s/0.1% bw



 $Σ_{x, z} = 20.58 \times 20.55 \, \mu m^2$ (H ×V) Flux = 1.55 \cdot 10¹⁵ ph/s/0.1% bw



Propagation to the diaphragm



Example of the Soft X-ray SEXTANTS beamline



-0.8

-0.6

-0.4

-0.4

0.0

0.4

0.8

Flux Density

 $(Ph/s/0.1\%BW/mm^{2})$

z (mm)

FLAT beam

ROUND beam





 $\Sigma_{x, z} = 0.47 \times 0.47 \text{ mm}^2 (\text{H} \times \text{V})$ Flux = 1.54 \cdot 10¹⁵ ph/s/0.1% bw







Propagation to the sample



Example of the Hard X-ray PX2 beamline

FLAT beam



FWHM Sizes = $14.8 \times 3.8 \ \mu m^2$ (H ×V) Flux = $1.51 \cdot 10^{13}$ ph/s

ROUND beam



FWHM Sizes = $4.7 \times 7.6 \mu m^2$ (H ×V) Flux = $1.72 \cdot 10^{13}$ ph/s

MAX IV Electron beam





FWHM Sizes = $4.4 \times 3.6 \mu m^2$ (H ×V) Flux = $3.50 \cdot 10^{13}$ ph/s



FWHM Sizes = $2.6 \times 4.1 \ \mu m^2$ (H ×V) Flux = $3.60 \cdot 10^{13}$ ph/s



Benefit of the emittance adapter on photon flux density at sample



- The benefit comes mainly from the reduction of the horizontal photon beam size at source:
 - A reduction by a factor \ge 3 is obtained for SOLEIL and \le 3 for MAX IV.

Gain factor in flux density at the sample	Hard X-ray beamline PX2	Soft X-ray beamline SEXTANTS
SOLEIL	1.8	2.1
MAX IV	1.5	1.2

- This gain factor is not preserved at the sample because of some effects of the beamline equipment:
 - Losses due to horizontal mirror slope errors.
 - Vertical size fixed by monochromator slit.
 - Distance from KB to sample is large.



Effect of the undulator phase error



Example of the PX2 Hard X-ray beamline : SOLEIL electron beam, at the diaphragm

PERFECT field



FWHM Sizes = $1.34 \times 0.42 \text{ mm}^2$ (H ×V) Flux = $1.45 \cdot 10^{14}$ ph/s/0.1% bw





FWHM Sizes = $0.50 \times 0.57 \text{ mm}^2$ (H ×V) Flux = $1.56 \cdot 10^{14}$ ph/s/0.1% bw

MEASURED field



FWHM Sizes = 1.38×0.44 mm² (H ×V) Flux = $1.08 \cdot 10^{14}$ ph/s/0.1% bw



FWHM Sizes = $0.50 \times 0.59 \text{ mm}^2$ (H ×V) Flux = $1.09 \cdot 10^{14}$ ph/s/0.1% bw

- → Flux is reduced in the same way for flat and round beams
- → Beam size is preserved
- → Benefit of the round beam is preserved





Example of the PX2 Hard X-ray beamline

The effect of the solenoid field on the electron beam trajectory depends on the initial angle of the electron beam trajectory and on the solenoid field value.









Example of the PX2 Hard X-ray beamline



For solenoid field values ≤10 T, the number of oscillation due to the solenoid field is much smaller than 1 : the trajectory is only bended.





Example of the PX2 Hard X-ray beamline

The spectrum is shifted towards lower photon energies when increasing the entrance angle.







Example of the PX2 Hard X-ray beamline : SOLEIL electron beam, at the diaphragm



For the obtained electron beam divergences (< 10 μ rad RMS), the effect of the solenoid field (10 T) on the electron trajectory and on the photon flux density is not significant. The benefit of the round beam is preserved^{*}.









A comprehensive study has been performed to evaluate the benefit of the emittance adapter for SOLEIL and MAX IV electron beam configurations. Dedicated tools were developed to calculate the emitted radiation and its propagation to the diaphragm.

For the two SOLEIL beamlines tested as example, it has been demonstrated that :

- → The gain in flux density at the sample is mainly due to the reduction of the horizontal electron beam size at source.
- → A reduction by a factor ~3 is obtained on the present SOLEIL and MAX-IV storage rings with a 10 T solenoid field (perfect adaptation is obtained with a solenoid field of ~140 T for an undulator length of 2 m).

The present design of the two beamlines should be optimized to benefit from a small horizontal photon beam size.



During this Round Beam project, photon users, optics and radiation experts, accelerator physicists worked together in a very pleasant and efficient way.







Thank you for your attention



Round Beam Workshop, SOLEIL, June 14th - 15th, 2017









Round Beam Workshop, SOLEIL, June 14th - 15th, 2017





Electron beam characteristics

		SOLEIL PRESENT				SOLEIL UPGRADE			ΜΑΧΙν	
	PX2	SEXTANTS			SDC			Present		
	Flat beam	Flat beam	Round beam		Flat beam	Round beam		Flat beam	Round beam	
Energie (GeV)	2.739	2.739	2.739	2.739	2.739	2.739	2.739	3.0	3.0	
Energy spread	1.01E-03	1.01E-03	1.01E-03	1.01E-03	1.08E-03	1.08E-03	1.08E-03	7.70E-04	7.70E-04	
Emittance coupling	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
Horizontal emittance (pm.rad)	4000	4000	4000	4000	170	200	200	328	328	
Vertical emittance (pm.rad)	39.6	39.6	39.6	39.6	1.7	2.0	2.0	3.2	3.2	
Solenoid field (T)	-	-	10	60	-	10	20	-	10	
Apparent H and V emittances (pm.rad)	-	-	398.0	398.0	-	19.9	19.9	-	32.6	
betax (m)	4.82	4.23	9.1	1.5	0.53	9.1	4.6	9	10.0	
alphax	-0.3708	0.0089	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
etax (m)	0.1734	0.1673	0	0	0.0144	0	0	0	0	
etax'	0.0014	0.0004	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
betaz (m)	3.21	2.28	9.1	1.5	0.54	9.1	4.6	2	10.0	
alphaz	-0.7163	0.0126	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
sigmax (μm)	224.5	212.2	60.3	24.6	18.2	13.5	9.5	54.3	18.1	
sigmax' (µrad)	30.4	30.4	6.6	16.2	17.9	1.5	2.1	6.0	1.8	
<mark>sigm</mark> az (μm)	11.2	8.3	60.3	24.6	1.0	13.5	9.5	2.5	18.1	
sigmaz' (μrad)	4.3	3.7	6.6	16.2	1.8	1.5	2.1	1.3	1.8	







HARD X-ray beamline PX2 : Effect of the undulator PHASE ERROR at the diaphragm











HARD X-ray beamline PX2 : Effect of the undulator PHASE ERROR at the diaphragm



Domain n° 5 – SP n° 3 SP Title: Round Photon Beam



Development of an Extended Near-Field code based on SRW output



I. IGOR Pro 6.3 Manual. 2013 WaveMetrics, Inc. http://www.wavemetrics.com/

. O. Chubar, P. Elleaume, proc. of the EPAC98 Conference, 22-26 June 1998, p.1177-1179.

3. Moreno, T. & Idir, M., 2001. J. Phys. IV France 11, 527–531

SYNCHROTRON